

CAI.46 16/17

Challenge and Improvement Committee

21 February 2017

### Subject: Progress and Delivery – Period 3

| Report by:       | Chief Operating Officer   |
|------------------|---|
| Contact Officer: | Mark Sturgess<br>Chief Operating Officer<br>01427 676500<br>Mark.sturgess@west-lindsey.gov.uk   |
| Purpose/Summary: | This report deals with the progress and delivery of projects which are aimed at the delivery of the corporate plan. This report highlights those projects that have entered the delivery stage and are either off track or at risk of not delivering.<br>This report also deals with the progress and delivery of the services the council provides. It is an "exceptions" report and deals with those services which are either performing above the required level or are below the target set for them. The report will also provide members with a summary of activity across services. |
|                  |   |

#### **RECOMMENDATION(S):**

1. That the committee examine the responses given to the report by the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee and the Prosperous Communities Committee and assure themselves that the appropriate level of challenge is being made by those committees to the information contained in the report.

#### IMPLICATIONS

Legal: None

Financial:

**Staffing: None** 

Equality and Diversity including Human Rights: None

**Risk Assessment: None** 

**Climate Related Risks and Opportunities: None** 

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

P & D Review May 2016

#### Call in and Urgency:

Is the decision one which Rule 14.7 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules apply?

| i.e. is the report exempt from being called in due to urgency (in consultation with C&I chairman) | Yes | No | x |  |
|---|-----|----|---|--|
| Key Decision:   |     |    |   |  |
| A matter which affects two or more<br>wards, or has significant financial<br>implications         | Yes | No | x |  |

- 1.1 The report attached at Appendix 3 has previously been considered by both the Policy Committees
- 1.2 The Committee are asked to examine the responses given to the report by the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee and the Prosperous Communities Committee and assure themselves that the appropriate level of challenge is being made by those committees to the information contained in the report.
- 1.3 The minutes arising from the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee and the Prosperous Communities Committee are attached at Appendix 1 and 2 respectively.

#### Appendix 1

#### Extract from Corporate Policy and Resources - Minutes of Meeting held on 9 February 2016

The Chief Operating Officer introduced the Progress and Delivery report for the third quarter, which highlighted the authority's Services.

The summary was structured to highlight those areas that were performing above expectations, those areas where there was a risk to either performance or delivery and those areas where further work was required for next year's report.

Areas described as performing well included: Building Control; Development Management; Projects and Growth; and the Trinity Arts Centre.

Those areas described as risks included: Local Land Charges; Enforcement; Markets; and Home Choices.

Further information was given on each of the above. Data relating to Complaints, Comments and Compliments were being reconsidered to present a more sophisticated way of monitoring. A measure around section 106s and CIL was also to be introduced to give members greater visibility. A further report specific to Markets was to be submitted to the Prosperous Communities Committee in due course.

One Member noted that a pattern had emerged over the years and that things such as sickness absences and markets were continually risk issues and did not appear to ever be resolved. Although it was good that the Trinity Arts Centre was now showing good progress, but was it actually making a profit?

The Chief Operating Officer responded to the points raised and stated that sickness absence rates were due primarily to a particular work area due to the nature of the work and the age profile of the staff, the figures were expected to fall. Regarding Trinity Arts, it was noted that, as a Grade II listed building, there would be a cost to close the establishment and that it did cover its running costs, and was a social asset to local residents. It was suggested that care was needed not to subsidise a Gainsborough asset at the expense of other areas in the district, however there was no subsidy involved.

The Chairman of the Joint Staff Consultative Committee responded to the comments on sickness absences and noted that West Lindsey was one of the best performing authorities compared with its benchmarked neighbours, and was a caring authority which would be sympathetic to an individual with a long term or serious illness.

Issues around recycling rates were then discussed, whilst the data was awaited it was felt important to know the contamination rates as this had implications for the new Technically, Environmentally and Economically Practicable (TEEP) legislation, as if contamination was high then recycling was not working. The Chief Operating Officer agreed that this was a good point and he would look into gaining statistics

and work with the Operations Team Manager. It was agreed that there was room for promotion and education in the matter.

Note was made of the Leisure provision at De Aston and Caistor to be addressed within the new contract, and the Caistor Heritage Initiative, and the Ward Member for Caistor extended an invitation to Members to see the achievements made in the area.

The publication of the Housing White Paper was welcomed and addressed some outstanding issues, and it was hoped that West Lindsey would take part in the consultation through the Prosperous Communities Committee. The final section of the White Paper included reference to the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) of which it was important for Members to be aware. The Chief Operating Officer noted the next sessions of Planning Training for Members could include a session on CIL and dates for the next year would be issued shortly.

The Economic and Commercial Growth Director informed Members that the authority was writing its own Housing Strategy and the Improvement Plan would be submitted for Committee consideration and could perhaps be utilised as the authority's response to the White Paper.

The Chairman requested that the Chief Operating Officer undertake discussions with himself regarding the development of leisure facilities at Caistor Top, and also provide Schedule of BC Inspections and the process taken.

**RESOLVED** that having reviewed the performance information contained in the Progress and Delivery Report, the report be accepted.

#### Appendix 2

# Extract from Prosperous Communities Committee – Minutes of Meeting 31 January 2017

#### 78 PROGRESS AND DELIVERY PERIOD 3 (PRCC.51 16/17)

The report was introduced by the Chief Operating Officer who noted that it reflected the performance of the Council in the first nine months of the 2016/17 municipal year (April – December).

The summary was structured to highlight those areas that were performing above expectations, those areas where there was a risk to either performance or delivery and those areas where further work was required for next year's report.

Areas described as performing well included: Building Control; Development Management; Projects and Growth; and the Trinity Arts Centre.

Those areas described as risks included: Local Land Charges; Enforcement; Markets; and Home Choices.

Further information was given on each of the above. Data relating to Complaints, Comments and Compliments were being reconsidered to present a more sophisticated way of monitoring. A measure around section 106s and CIL was also to be introduced to give members greater visibility.

Discussion ensued and a Member sought assurance that when planning applications alluded to economic growth, the Growth Team should be included as consultees and that link made and embedded. Officers advised that this was the case, however if the Member had a differing experience, they would be happy to discuss this outside of the meeting.

A Member made further enquiries regarding the under-performance in car parking income and sought to ascertain why the finance team had been unable to offer any explanation within the report. There was a view that the current Car Parking Strategy was failing Gainsborough. It was suggested that income was down, as people could no longer find a parking space.

In responding, the Financial Services Manager asked Members to recall that the Car Parking Strategy had stated there was limited evidence available as to what to base the charges on, furthermore the impact of the loss of the multi-storey and the introduction of charges in Market Rasen would be unknown and would need to be factored in at some point in the future. The quarter 3 Monitoring Report due for consideration by the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee on 9 February 2017, did advise, and provide details, of budget pressures relating to car parking income for a number of reasons including the delay in introducing charges in the Market Rasen, in order to support businesses through the Christmas period. Income from car parking permits had increased and pressure of around £39k was being reported. Some Member considered the Strategy was just not working, permits were up and yet income in general was down. A Council priority was to be open for business and this Strategy just did not support it.

The Chief Operating Officer responded advising that he had been liaising closely with the Chairman regarding issues the Council were aware currently existed and those which were likely to arise in the future. The Car Parking Strategy for Gainsborough needed to be reviewed, and a further report would be submitted to the Committee in March 2017. The report would look at a raft of things including the financial position and pricing of permits but also opportunities for additional car parks around the town. Some work had been undertaken to date and approximately 70 council staff had been relocated to the Tesco overspill car-park, freeing up spaces nearer the town centre, however it was acknowledged that further work was required.

Members welcomed the positive position in terms of Enforcement, and the continued success being realised by the Trinity Arts Centre. Concern was expressed that the situation in respect of homelessness would only continue.

Returning to concerns relating to the car park income, Members shared their experiences of having tried to park in the town centre recently and expressed disappointment that the original Strategy's driver appeared to have been cost. Feedback from residents was that they could not get to their streets as the parking situation was having an impact and it had been suggested that this was Council Staff. There was a view that the Council needed to make parking easier and closer to the shops if it really wanted to support businesses. Expectation now dictated people wanted to park close and shop instantly and a cost neutral driver would never deliver this.

The interim work undertaken with regard to staff parking was reiterated. The revised arrangements could, and would, be enforced against essential car users, at a total of 59. However staff "paid for permits" / casual users were issued permits at the same cost as to residents, and staff which had agreed to relocate had done this out of good will.

Some Members were of the view that a radical review of how enforcement was carried out was required and welcomed indication that more resources would be put into this area.

**RESOLVED** that having reviewed the performance information contained in the Progress and Delivery Report, the report be accepted.



Appendix 3

#### Subject: Progress and Delivery Report – Period three

| Report by:       | Chief Operating Officer                           |
|------------------|---|
| Contact Officer: | Mark Sturgess                                     |
|                  | Chief Operating Officer                           |
|                  | 01427 676687                                      |
|                  | mark.sturgess@west-lindsey.gov.uk                 |
| Purpose/Summary: | To consider the progress and delivery performance |
|                  | report for 2016/17 period 3                       |

#### **RECOMMENDATION(S):**

1. The Committee are asked to review the performance information contained in the Progress and Delivery Report and to review the performance outlined and highlight where specific action should be taken (NB the actions recommended will be reviewed by the Challenge and Improvement Committee at the end of this cycle of meetings).

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

Legal: None arising from this report

Financial: FIN REF 128/17 None arising from this report

Staffing: None arising from this report

Equality and Diversity including Human Rights: None arising from this report

**Risk Assessment: None arising from this report** 

Climate Related Risks and Opportunities: None arising from this report

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Reports to the Policy Committees and Challenge and Improvement Committee

#### Call in and Urgency:

#### Is the decision one which Rule 14.7 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules apply?

Yes

i.e. is the report exempt from being called in due to urgency (in consultation with C&I chairman)

| KOV   | Decision  |  |
|-------|-----------|--|
| L/C A | Decision: |  |

A matter which affects two or more wards, or has **Ye** significant financial implications

| S |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |

| No | x |
|----|---|

Х

No

## **Table of Contents**

| Introduction                                   | .4 |
|--|----|
| Executive Summary                              |    |
| Section 1: Corporate Health Measures           |    |
| Section 2: Project and Programme Delivery1     | 10 |
| Section 3: Service Exceptions1                 |    |
| Customer Services1                             |    |
| Housing Benefit and Local Council Tax Support1 |    |
| Council Tax1                                   |    |
| Building Control1                              |    |
| Local Land Charges1                            |    |
| Development Management1                        |    |
| Enforcement1                                   |    |
| Food Safety1                                   |    |
| Licensing1                                     |    |
| Street Cleansing1                              |    |
| Waste Collection1                              |    |
| Trinity Arts Centre                            |    |
| Democratic Services                            |    |
| Contracts Management2                          |    |
| Economic Development                           |    |
| Funding  |    |
| Localism and Community Safety                  |    |
| CCTV   |    |
| Markets  |    |
| Safeguarding                                   |    |
| Assets and Facilities Management               |    |
| Housing  |    |
| Home Choices                                   |    |
| Healthy District                               |    |
| Town Centre Management                         |    |
|  |    |
| Systems Development                            |    |
| Corporate Governance                           | 38 |

## Introduction

Councillors have received progress and delivery reports since 2012. They have sought to give councillors information on how the council is performing through its services, project delivery and finances. This has given councillors the opportunities to question officers on performance and ensure that any rectification measures proposed to remedy poor performance are sufficient to tackle the issues identified.

This report is about the services the council is delivering in order to meet the objectives it has set itself in the corporate plan.

For clarity this report will provide information on those services that are either performing below their target level or have exceeded the performance expected of them. This will be done within certain tolerance levels therefore services which are just below their target performance will not be reported at this stage, but will be monitoring through the council's services leadership team. Generally explanations and rectifications are given where an aspect of a service is performing below the required standard.

In addition the report will contain information on services which were included in the last period's exceptions report, but have subsequently improved to the extent that they are not included in this report. This is to demonstrate to members that remedial measures which have been put in place are working.

#### How to use this report

**RAG Performance Indicators** 

| * | Performance against this indicator is better than the set target |
|---|--|
|   | Performance is in line with its target                           |
| - | Performance is lower than predicted                              |

#### **Direction of Travel**

| 1             | Performance is improving        |
|---------------|---------------------------------|
| $\rightarrow$ | Performance is remaining static |
| $\downarrow$  | Performance is declining        |

## **Executive Summary**

This is the quarter three performance report and therefore concentrates on the service and project delivery (Commercial Plan and Corporate Plan performance is dealt with at six monthly intervals in quarter two and four).

The executive summary is structured to highlight those areas that are performing above expectations, those areas where there is a risk to either performance or delivery and those areas where further work is required for next year's report.

#### **Performing Well**

#### **Building Control**

The Council has made significant investment in the Building Control service to equip the team to compete effectively in the market. The benefits of this are starting to be realised with a small increase in fees when compared to 2015/16, however the construction sector continues to be volatile and there is still work to be done to ensure this trend continues long term. Work has now started on delivering additional services highlighted in the Business Plan. Air testing has just been launched with Fire Risk Assessments following shortly. It is likely that warranty work in conjunction with Local Authority Building Control (the national accreditation body for the service) will start this year.

#### **Development Management**

The improvement in performance reported in quarter two for Development Management has been sustained and continued into quarter three. Fee income from planning applications has exceeded budget targets each month and for the year to date is notably higher than the same period in 2015/16. This work has established the building blocks for the service to ensure that it now starts to improve areas such as customer care and quality of outcomes, although the volume of complaints the service receives is starting to reduce.

#### Projects and Growth

The Team is focussed on the Gainsborough Growth Programme, the Food Enterprise Zone at Hemswell Cliff and wider economic development initiatives such as the Lindsey Action Zone and the potential for industrial units at key sites such as Saxilby.

In Gainsborough, the 'Development Prospectus' was launched over the summer and progress has been made on procurement of a Strategic Development Partner; funding bids have been made to both Heritage Lottery Fund and Historic England to assist in the restoration of town centre properties; the Gainsborough Place Board has been launched and a range of key stakeholders are signed up as 'Ambassadors' for the town; Council support has been secured for the redevelopment of the Sun Hotel and for a joint venture company to regenerate Market Street; feasibility work has progressed for a marina in the town and a further funding bid has been made to assist with infrastructure costs which will help to unlock key housing sites. The Gainsborough Growth Fund also continues to assist new and growing firms in the town. Works to upgrade the footways along Beaumont Street and Trinity Street have been undertaken, working with Lincolnshire County Council.

In the wider District, the Lindsey Action Zone continues to assist West Lindsey businesses, most recently through the award of a grant for the expansion of Hall Farm Park. The upgrading of the road access to Newtoft Business Park has also been delivered as a joint initiative between the Council and the local business community. An evaluation of the Townscape Heritage Initiative in

Caistor is underway, which will inform future action in the town and the Council is considering the potential to take a lease on new workspace units in Saxilby.

Other measures such as the investigation of discretionary rate relief for businesses and the implementation of the selective licensing scheme in the south west ward of Gainsborough are all helping to deliver corporate plan objectives.

#### Trinity Arts Centre

The long standing improvement in the performance of the Trinity Arts Centre in Gainsborough has continued into the third quarter of 2016/17, especially around income and visitor numbers. The facility is now more than covering the costs of running the facility, once premises costs are removed.

#### Risks

#### Local Land Charges

Local Land Charges continues to be a risk and will continue to be so until a robust automated service is introduced in the spring. The service is maintaining its good reputation for quality and accuracy and because of this maintains a core customer base. Additional resources have been allocated to the service in order to keep turnaround times for searches within the 10 day target in the interim.

#### **Enforcement**

The increase in demand on enforcement services is increasing across all areas and continues to remain at a high level in planning enforcement. Work is being undertaken to identify why this increase is continuing at a sustained level and ensure that cases are dealt within in accordance with the adopted policy.

#### Markets

Markets continue to underperform and whilst a final decision is awaited on proposals to improve their performance, measures are being taken to reduce operation costs which do not prejudice any of the options under consideration by members.

#### Home Choices

Demand on the home choices service for people in housing need and those at risk of homelessness is increasing. We have seen a marked increase in new approaches to the service, homelessness presentations and temporary accommodation usage. The main areas where this is being reflected in the performance of the service are: the amount of rough sleepers; the time taken to rehouse people in housing need and the numbers of people using bed and breakfast accommodation.

#### **Further Work**

Complaints can be a good source of intelligence on how a particular service is performing and how it can be improved to better meet the needs and expectations of customers.

At the present time the total volume of complaints are recorded within each service and these are aggregated and reported to members as part of the corporate health measures set out in the progress and delivery report.

It is fully accepted that this is a crude way of handling complaint information and a more sophisticated approach is needed which is able to identify trends in complaints, highlight particular areas or services which are subject to high levels of complaints and key themes in complaints the council receives. More importantly from an organisation point of view techniques need to be

developed which will enable services to act on information from an analysis of complaints which can make a tangible difference to the service customers receive. This is more than just improving the response to individual complaints it is about learning from all complaints and changing systems and process so that the scope for that type of complaint recur is eliminated.

For the 2016/17 municipal year the way we report complaint information will be reviewed in order to give members information on complaints which gives them a better insight into what customers complain about and how action is taken to ensure we deal with the causes of complaints.

In addition to a better reporting of complaints the councils approach to enforcement is being review together with different options around the delivery of Gainsborough Markets.

## Section 1: Corporate Health Measures

| Performance<br>Measure  | Reporting |        | Current Pe | riod |               | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD    | What is affecting  | What do we need to do to improve and by  |  |
|---|-----------|--------|------------|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|--------|--|--|--|
|   | Frequency | Actual | Target     | Perf | DoT           | Actual          | Perf. | perf.  | performance  | when?  |  |
| Perspective: Cus  | stomer    |        |            |      |               |                 |       |        |  |  |  |
| Staff<br>absenteeism  | Monthly   | 1.01   | 0.70       | •    | Ļ             | 0.87            | •     | 0.84   | High number of long term<br>absences are affecting<br>performance  | Ensure all options are<br>explored to reduce<br>absences   |  |
| Health and<br>Safety incidents  | Quarterly | 18     | n/a        | n/a  | $\rightarrow$ | 23              | n/a   | 57     |  |  |  |
| Service and<br>system<br>availability                                     | Monthly   | n/a    | n/a        | n/a  | n/a           | n/a             | n/a   | n/a    |  |  |  |
| Perspective: Fina   | ancial    | •      | ·          | -    |               | •               |       |        |  |  |  |
| Position against<br>budget  | Quarterly | -3.67% | 0%         |      | $\rightarrow$ | -3.64%          | •     | -3.67% |  |  |  |
| Perspective: Pro  | cess      |        |            |      |               |                 |       |        |  |  |  |
| Percentage of<br>service requests<br>received through<br>digital channels | Monthly   | 24%    | 35%        | •    | Ļ             | 26%             | •     | 26%    | During period 3 demand for<br>services has reduced<br>overall both in terms of<br>volume of total demand and<br>digital demand.                      |  |  |
| Perspective: Qua  | ality     |        |            |      |               |                 |       |        |  |  |  |
| Complaints  | Monthly   | 30     | 18         | •    | Ļ             | 58              | •     | 115    | In October, we received 10<br>stage 2 and 1 stage 3<br>complaint.<br>14 complaints received in<br>November: 1 informal, 10<br>stage 2 and 3 stage 3. | The analysis of<br>complaints will be<br>reviewed for the next<br>year to provide<br>members with a better<br>insight into the principal |  |

| Performance<br>Measure       | Reporting | · · ·  |        |      |               | · · ·  |       |       | Current Pe  | riod                                   |  | Previo<br>Perio |  | YTD | What is affecting performance | What do we need to do to improve and by |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|---|--|--|-----------------|--|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| weasure                      | Frequency | Actual | Target | Perf | DoT           | Actual | Perf. | perf. | performance   | when?                                  |  |                 |  |     |                               |   |
|                              |           |        |        |      |               |        |       |       | 5 complaints received in<br>December: all stage two.<br>Of those 2 were in relation.  | reasons for complaints to the council. |  |                 |  |     |                               |   |
| Compliments                  | Monthly   | 40     | 54     | •    | $\rightarrow$ | 44     | •     | 112   | 40 compliments 16 in<br>October, 10 in November,<br>14 in December.   |  |  |                 |  |     |                               |   |
| Percentage of calls answered | Monthly   | 73%    | 90%    | •    | →             | 72%    | •     | 72%   | Due to some technical<br>issues experienced with the<br>provider the work to create<br>agent groups to help<br>reduced the volume of<br>missed call, for those team<br>with large call volumes, has<br>been delayed but work is<br>ongoing and it is anticipated<br>that we will be able to have<br>the changes in place during<br>January 17 | Await resolution of technical issues   |  |                 |  |     |                               |   |

 Table 1: Corporate Health measure exceptions

| Programme    | Project Name     | Description    | RAG   | Reason |
|--------------|------------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| Housing      | Lettings company | No description | Red   |        |
| West Lindsey | Economic impact  | No description | Green |        |
| growth       | assessment       |                | Green |        |

Table 2: Project and Programme Delivery

## **Cluster: Customer First**

#### **Customer Services**

The Customer Services Team is coping well despite low resources in Q3 due to staff leaving and long lead times in recruiting replacements. Our demand is very fluid as this is driven by what initiatives departments of the Council are undertaking and any initiatives that our tenants are also undertaking. For example Job Centre Plus may be advised to undertake a national initiative which results in an increase in footfall to our offices and managing this unknown demand present challenges that we deal with as best we can. We have set up a small internal working group, which include tenants, to look at how the public services hub works and how we can make improvement to customer flow within the limited space we have and improve the channels of communication between ourselves so we can understand and prepare for increases in demands.

Work has commenced on increasing the number of telephone calls the whole council is able to answer and initially work is underway within Council Tax and Housing Benefits teams to improve the volume of calls we are able to deal with on a daily basis. Work has also begun in preparing scripts for staff with Customer Services to promote on line channels and help customers in setting up self-accounts on our website.

| Performance<br>Measure | Reporting | Current Period |        |      | Previo<br>Perio |        | YTD<br>perf. | what is affecting | What do we need to do to improve and by |                          |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------|------|-----------------|--------|--------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Weasure                | Frequency | Actual         | Target | Perf | DoT             | Actual | Perf.        | pen.              | performance                             | when?                    |
| Perspective: Fina      | ancial    |                |        |      |                 |        |              |                   |   |                          |
| Cost of delivery       | Monthly   | £1.70          | £2.00  | 4    |                 | £1.60  |              | £1.67             | Over performing in this                 | Monitor to ensure do not |
| per demand             | WOITIN    | 21.70          | 22.00  | R.   | $\rightarrow$   | 21.00  |              | 21.07             | area.                                   | go above £2 mark         |

Table 3: Customer Satisfaction measureexceptions

#### Housing Benefit and Local Council Tax Support

The Benefit Team have changed the way they see customers face-to-face at Guildhall which has started to have some effect in Q3 – we no longer ask customers to make appointments and they can 'drop in' every day and see a Benefit Officer. This has seen the duty Benefit Officer better utilised as customers were making appointments and not appearing for them which meant officers were

underutilised. Resource has been an issue in Q3 due to one officer being absent long-term due to sickness. Processing of new claims and changes to claims has remained mainly within targets due to the flexibility of benefit officers and changes in processes to allow for the temporarily reduced resource. The new Benefit Cap has been introduced to West Lindsey customers in Q3 resulting in an increase in workload whilst the customers and landlords adapt to the new cap.

| Performance<br>Measure                    | Reporting<br>Frequency |        |        |      | Previous<br>Period |        | What is affecting performance | What do we need to do to improve and by |  |   |
|---|------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
| IviedSule                                 | Frequency              | Actual | Target | Perf | DoT                | Actual | Perf.                         | perf.                                   | performance  | when?   |
| Perspective: Qua                          | ality                  |        |        |      |                    |        |                               |   |  |   |
| Volume of<br>claims older than<br>30 days | Monthly                | 28     | 30     | *    | Ţ                  | 23     | *                             | *                                       | The resource available in<br>the Benefits Team has been<br>temporarily reduced over<br>Quarter 3 which has<br>resulted in claims taking a<br>little longer than in Quarter<br>2 and reaching the 30 day<br>mark. | Resource is almost back<br>to normal which will<br>allow claimants to be<br>reminded to provide<br>missing evidence to<br>support their new<br>claims. Should fall back<br>in to line by the end of<br>Q4 |

**Table 4: Benefits measure exceptions** 

#### **Council Tax**

The total rateable value for business rate properties continues to steadily increase each month and remains above target for this quarter. Most appeals to the current rating list have now been settled although there may be further amendments before the end of the financial year.

The Council Tax collection rate, whilst 0.03% below target for this quarter, is on target to meet the expected year end collection rates and this is due to an increase in customers choosing to pay their council tax over 12 monthly instalments rather than 10 which seems to be aiding customers to pay their accounts. This means that at the start of the financial year collection rates were slightly lower than they were at the start of last year but this will improve during February and March as payments continue to be received.

Although Business Rate collection rates are below target for this quarter they are on target to meet the expected year end collection rates. Last years' collection rates were unnaturally higher than normal due to Doctors surgeries experiencing large rateable value reductions which then required refunding.

| Performance                                 | Reporting |            | Current Peric | d    |     | Previous P | eriod | YTD   | What is affecting  | What do we need to   |
|---|-----------|------------|---------------|------|-----|------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Measure                                     | Frequency | Actual     | Target        | Perf | DoT | Actual     | Perf. | perf. | performance  | do to improve and<br>by when?  |
| Perspective: Fin                            | ancial    |            |               |      |     |            |       |       |  |  |
| Total rateable<br>value –<br>business rates | Monthly   | 43,109,426 | 42,700,000    | Ţ    | *   | 42,766,566 | *     | *     | Valuation Office Agency<br>is responsible for setting<br>rateable values and has<br>settled most appeals to<br>the current rating list.  | No improvement required  |
| Perspective: Pro                            | cess      |            |               |      |     |            |       |       |  |  |
| NNDR in year collection rate                | Monthly   | 81.54%     | 85%           | Ļ    | ٠   | 58.57%     | •     | •     | This is below the<br>collection rate target set<br>using 15/16 monthly<br>collection figures.<br>However this was an<br>'unnaturally' high peak<br>caused by Doctors<br>surgeries experiencing<br>rateable value reductions. | Collection rate is<br>monitored each month<br>and appears to be on<br>target to meet the year<br>end collection rate<br>target |

Table 5: Council Tax measure exceptions

#### **Building Control**

The Council is continuing to make significant investment in the Building Control service to equip the team so it may compete effectively in the wider market over the next 5 years, whereas for the past 3 years West Lindsey Building Control have been focusing on improving & developing its services, through building relationships, improving reputation & raising the profile of the team.

The benefits of this are starting to be realised with fees maintaining a steady level over the year, the construction industry continues to be volatile & there is still much work and investment to be made in ensuring this trend continues long term. The national picture shows that London and the south have borne the brunt of the referendum's impact, with regions such as the East Midlands being more insulated currently from paused contracts and stalled projects.

Work has now started on delivering some of the additional services highlighted in the new Business Plan for Building Control. Air testing was launched in September 2016 with couple of tests undertaken and a second surveyor has been on the intensive training

with a view to providing this service from later in the year, the same surveyor has also undertaken the SAP training, Air Testing and SAP go hand in hand on projects so will offer a complimentary additional service that the team can offer to clients. All 3 surveyors have passed their Fire Risk Assessments exams, with 2 to still undertake practice examples for marking, one surveyor is ready to trade, pending corporate date been made available. A member of the team has started to undertake warranty inspections through LABC with one undertaken to date and more to follow in the future. The service is also starting to make headway providing structural calculations with a core client base and storm water calculations are to be offered in the same way into the rest of 2016 and into 2017.

#### Local Land Charges

Due to a change in legislation (which means we cannot now charge for all elements of the work we carry out on searches) and a reduction in the amount of searches received, this has created a pressure on income of approximately 15k. With regards the turnaround times for searches to be completed the target set has been achieved on 2 out of the 3 months this period and has improved on the baseline figure on all 3 periods when compared to the same periods last year.

| Performance                          | Reporting | C      | urrent Peri | od   |     | Previous I | Period |           | What is affecting   | What do we need to            |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|------|-----|------------|--------|-----------|---|-------------------------------|
| Measure                              | Frequency | Actual | Target      | Perf | DoT | Actual     | Perf.  | YTD perf. | performance   | do to improve and<br>by when? |
| Perspective: Pro                     | ocess     |        |             |      |     |            |        |           |   |                               |
| Time taken to<br>process a<br>search | Monthly   | 8 days | 10 days     | *    | Ť   | 15 days    | •      | 12 days   | The service is closely<br>monitored by a dedicated<br>manager and reported<br>monthly to GCLT |                               |
|                                      |           |        |             |      |     |            |        |           | Table 6: Local Land Charge  | es measure                    |

exceptions

#### **Development Management**

Performance in Development Management has continued to significantly exceed targets for the fourth consecutive quarter. For Major applications, those that deliver the greatest number of new homes and jobs in the District, 100% have been determined on time for all of the last four months. Performance for all other applications has been exceptional throughout 2016, and this continued through Period 3. Income is also well above budget forecast and new measures such as the new computer system will assist the team in more effectively managing the number of invalid applications that the Council receives from planning agents – most of

which are simply down to agents omitting basic plans or the required fee. One area for concern is the number of Planning Appeals allowed, this is a measure for designation and at present our data reflects a risk. This is related to member overturn decisions being allowed on appeal (in some cases also resulting in an award for costs) and requires close review with the Planning Committee Chairman.

| Performance<br>Measure  | Reporting<br>Frequency |        | Current Per | iod        |               | Previous<br>Period |       | YTD<br>perf. | What is affecting performance | What do we need to do to improve and by |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|-------|--------------|-------------------------------|---|
| IviedSule               | Frequency              | Actual | Target      | t Perf DoT |               | Actual             | Perf. | pen.         |                               | when?                                   |
| <b>Perspective: Pro</b> | cess                   |        |             |            |               |                    |       |              |                               |   |
| % appeals allowed       | Monthly                |        |             |            |               |                    |       |              |                               |   |
| Perspective: Qua        | ality                  | •      | •           |            |               |                    |       |              |                               |   |
| Rate of invalids        | Monthly                |        |             |            |               |                    |       |              |                               |   |
| % majors within target  | Quarterly              | 100%   | 65%         | *          | ſ             | 83%                | *     | 88%          |                               |   |
| % minors within target  | Monthly                | 88%    | 75%         | *          | $\rightarrow$ | 91%                | *     | 88%          |                               |   |
| % others within target  | Monthly                | 96%    | 85%         | *          | $\rightarrow$ | 97%                | *     | 97%          |                               |   |

 Table 7: Development Management measure

 exceptions

#### Enforcement

The level of enforcement activity being undertaken is at a high level and month to month, the Council is delivering outcomes for its residents and resolving issues across a variety of areas. We continue to ensure that legislation is adhered to across all work areas and the level of proactive work being undertaken is increasing due to the success of the selective licensing scheme in the South West Ward.

There is a continued high level of demand across housing and planning enforcement, which in turn impacts upon our ability to meet the target timescales for cases. This is being managed within existing resources and will continue to be monitored. The number of housing and planning enforcement cases open still present a resource issue and discussions are underway in regards to how this can be resolved. The caseload has remained high in both areas consistently for the last 18 months and does not look like reducing in the short term.

| Performance   | Reporting |        | Current Per | riod |     | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD   | What is affecting   | What do we need to do to improve and by   |
|---|-----------|--------|-------------|------|-----|-----------------|-------|-------|---|---|
| Measure   | Frequency | Actual | Target      | Perf | DoT | Actual          | Perf. | perf. | performance   | when?   |
| Perspective: Cus  | stomer    |        |             |      |     |                 |       |       |   |   |
| Housing<br>enforcement<br>requests<br>received                  | Monthly   | 146    | 180         | *    | Ļ   | 60              | *     | 146   | No performance issues   | No performance issues   |
| Open housing<br>enforcement<br>requests                         | Monthly   | 90     | 80          | •    | →   | 94              | •     | 92    | Seasonal increase due to excess cold and damp and mould   | The number of cases is<br>consistently high due to<br>the level of proactive<br>work being undertaken<br>and improved reporting<br>mechanisms |
| Planning<br>enforcement<br>requests<br>received                 | Monthly   | 43     | 66          | *    | Ť   | 91              | •     | 167   | No performance issues   | No performance issues   |
| Open planning<br>enforcement<br>cases                           | Monthly   | 137    | 100         | •    | Ļ   | 130             | •     | 132   | A large and ongoing caseload  | Review staffing<br>resources to meet<br>demand  |
| Perspective: Final  | ancial    |        |             |      |     |                 |       |       |   |   |
| Percentage of<br>licensing income<br>received                   | Quarterly | 57%    | 80%         | •    | n/a | n/a             | n/a   | n/a   | Deadline for payments set<br>at 31st of January. This is<br>an initial estimation. On<br>target to achieve 80%. | n/a   |
| Perspective: Qua  | ality     | -      | -           |      |     |                 |       |       |   |   |
| Time taken to<br>resolve a<br>housing<br>enforcement<br>request | Monthly   | 118    | 90          | •    | Ļ   | 89              | •     | 89    | High number of complex cases  | Review staffing<br>resource to meet<br>demand   |
| Time taken to<br>resolve a<br>planning                          | Monthly   | 169    | 100         | •    | ¢   | 189             | •     | 189   | High level of long standing cases closed  | Continue to review and monitor resources  |

| Performance<br>Measure | Reporting<br>Frequency | Current Period |        |      |     |        | Previous<br>Period |       | What is affecting performance | What do we need to do to improve and by |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------|------|-----|--------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---|
| weasure                | riequency              | Actual         | Target | Perf | DoT | Actual | Perf.              | perf. | performance                   | when?                                   |
| enforcement            |                        |                |        |      |     |        |                    |       |                               | allocated to planning                   |
| request                |                        |                |        |      |     |        |                    |       |                               | enforcement                             |

**Table 8: Enforcement measure exceptions** 

#### **Environmental Protection**

The team continue to ensure that service requests are dealt with in a timely manner. Service processes are being reviewed to ensure that information supplied to service users is clear and concise. Work is progressing to ensure that as much information as possible is available through the website, this will also signpost service users to other organisations if we cannot deal with the issue raised.

| Performance<br>Measure                                 | Reporting |        | Current Pe | riod |               | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD   | What is affecting                    | What do we need to do to improve and by |  |
|--|-----------|--------|------------|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| weasure  | Frequency | Actual | Target     | Perf | DoT           | Actual          | Perf. | perf. | performance                          | when?                                   |  |
| Perspective: Qua                                       | ality     |        |            |      |               |                 |       |       |                                      |   |  |
| Nuisance<br>complaints<br>complete within<br>timescale | Monthly   | 100%   | 95%        | *    | $\rightarrow$ | 100%            | *     | 100%  | No issues in performance             | Continue monitoring                     |  |
|  |           |        |            |      |               |                 |       |       | Table 9: Environmental<br>exceptions | Protection measure                      |  |

#### Food Safety

The number of food businesses receiving a proactive inspection fluctuates month on month but we are still on target for achieving the number of inspections for the year. During this period there have been a number of service requests that have been more resource intensive.

#### Licensing

100% of the applications received have been processed in the agreed timescales, with less than 1% being put before Members for a decision. Unfortunately there has been a downturn in the number of applications received, which has reduced income also. This was not unexpected and in the main due to a reduction in taxi applications as a result of changes to legislation & policy. The

authority has been dealing with two Appeals in relation to decisions made to revoke Premises Licenses by Members. One of these has recently been dismissed by the Courts and the other one is in the process of being withdrawn, (subject to proposed conditions being amended & implemented via the Magistrates Courts.

| Performance<br>Measure | Reporting | Current Period |         | Previous<br>Period |     | YTD     | What is affecting | What do we need to do to improve and by |                                   |   |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|--------------------|-----|---------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| WiedSulfe              | Frequency | Actual         | Target  | Perf               | DoT | Actual  | Perf.             | perf.                                   | performance                       | when?   |
| Perspective: Fina      | ancial    |                |         |                    |     |         |                   |   |                                   |   |
| Income received        | Monthly   | £25,325        | £34,173 | •                  | ↓   | £58,148 | *                 | ¢                                       | Changes to legislation and policy | Monitor income closely<br>through budget<br>monitoring process. |

Table 10: Licensing measure exceptions

#### Street Cleansing

Street Cleansing continues to perform in line with expectations. Compliments for the service have increased again and we continue to engage with communities by supporting voluntary cleansing events. The annual benchmarking exercise where we compare ourselves to around forty other organisations puts us in top quartile for most indicators. Income is down, especially for private mechanical road-sweeping although there has been an upturn of late, we are currently undertaking market research to fully understand the current position.

| Performance<br>Measure    | Reporting | (      | Current Per | iod  |               | Previous<br>Period |       | YTD     | What is affecting<br>performance               | What do we need to do to improve and by  |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|------|---------------|--------------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| Measure                   | Frequency | Actual | Target      | Perf | DoT           | Actual             | Perf. | perf.   | performance                                    | when?                                    |
| Perspective: Fina         | ancial    |        |             |      |               |                    |       |         |  |  |
| Income<br>generation      | Monthly   | £4,008 | £12,000     |      | ſ             | £3,775             |       | £11,632 | Downturn in mechanical<br>road sweeping demand | Continue to promote                      |
| <b>Perspective: Pro</b>   | cess      |        |             |      |               |                    |       |         |  |  |
| Volunteer litter<br>picks | Monthly   | 10     | 15          |      | $\rightarrow$ | 16                 |       | 47      | Below target                                   | Continue to engage with voluntary groups |

Table 11: Street Cleansing measure exceptions

#### Waste Collection

Once again the Waste Collection service was nominated for APSE awards in both Best performer and Most Improved categories. The Commercial Waste service continues to outperform expectations, a marketing campaign shortly before Christmas has boosted customer acquisition rates. Recycling rates will probably fall slightly in year and residual waste will increase, this is in line with national trends. We continue to work with Lincolnshire Waste Partnership and Government agencies to find solutions. Rates of missed collections have improved beyond the target set and almost all the bins which are missed are collected within the service standard time limit.

| Performance  | Reporting |         | Current Per | riod |               | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD perf. | What is affecting                               | What do we need to do to improve and by       |
|--|-----------|---------|-------------|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|---|---|
| Measure  | Frequency | Actual  | Target      | Perf | DoT           | Actual          | Perf. |           | performance                                     | when?   |
| <b>Perspective: Fin</b>  | ancial    |         |             |      |               |                 |       |           |   |   |
| Cost of<br>delivering<br>service per<br>Household                    | Quarterly |         | £34.00      |      |               |                 |       |           | Awaiting Data                                   | Awaiting Data                                 |
| Trade waste<br>income  | Monthly   | £44,069 | £33,783     | *    | $\rightarrow$ | £51,466         | *     | £117,418  | On target                                       | Continue with sales<br>and marketing strategy |
| Perspective: Pro   | ocess     |         |             |      |               |                 |       |           |   |   |
| Recycling rates  | Monthly   |         | 50%         |      |               |                 |       |           | Awaiting Data                                   | Awaiting Data                                 |
| Residual<br>household<br>waste collected                             | Monthly   | 40.44   | 38.00       | •    | Ļ             | 39.75           | •     | 39.86     | On target, residual waste increasing nationally | Awaiting meeting with WRAP                    |
| Perspective: Qu  | ality     | •       |             |      |               |                 |       | •         |   |   |
| Missed<br>collections  | Monthly   | 327     | 390         | *    | 1             | 558             | •     | 1,181     | Some improvement within month                   | Work with crews to resolve                    |
| Missed bins<br>collected within<br>the Service<br>Level<br>Agreement | Monthly   |         | 95%         |      |               |                 |       |           | Awaiting Data                                   | Awaiting Data                                 |

Table 12: Waste Collection measure exceptions

#### **Trinity Arts Centre**

Trinity Arts Centre continues to perform well with audiences continuing to grow. Events in December have been particularly well supported with the surplus produced from the artistic programme growing by £2,000 year on year for that month alone.

The cost per user has been consistently below target for the period demonstrating excellent value for money and the received surplus from the artistic programme is 81% over target for the quarter. The Centre is closed the first two weeks of January allowing for flooring and seating replacements. This will put the Centre in an excellent position to capitalise on and grow current success.

| Performance                                | Reporting |        | Current Pe | riod |     | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD    | What is affecting  | What do we need to do to improve and by  |
|--|-----------|--------|------------|------|-----|-----------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Measure                                    | Frequency | Actual | Target     | Perf | DoT | Actual          | Perf. | perf.  | performance  | when?  |
| Perspective: Cus                           | stomer    |        |            |      |     |                 |       |        |  |  |
| Audience figures                           | Monthly   | 5,118  | 2,100      | *    | Ť   | 4,624           | *     | 12,068 | Audience figures are well<br>above target. Trend<br>information demonstrates<br>that visits are typically<br>higher during the Winter<br>months. However<br>successful programming,<br>especially for December,<br>has demonstrated a<br>positive increase in<br>audience numbers. | Continue to provide<br>attractive and vibrant<br>programme of events<br>and ensure these are<br>well promoted. The<br>new seating has now<br>been installed and show<br>allow growth in<br>audience numbers and<br>income. |
| Event<br>occupancy                         | Monthly   | 64%    | 55%        | *    | Î   | 42%             | •     | 50%    | Shows are being well<br>supported. This is due to<br>programming and<br>successful marketing<br>including an increase in<br>social media users.  | Continue to provide<br>attractive and vibrant<br>programme of events<br>and ensure these are<br>well promoted.   |
| Perspective: Fina                          | ancial    |        |            |      |     | 1               |       |        |  | 1  |
| Cost of Trinity<br>Arts Centre per<br>user | Monthly   | £2.55  | £5.50      | *    | Ť   | £7.30           | •     | £3.82  | Good performance on<br>artistic programme and<br>good audience attendance  | Continue to offer<br>attractive programme<br>booked on best<br>financial terms together  |

| Performance<br>Measure | Reporting | Current Period |        |      | Previo<br>Perio |         | YTD   | What is affecting | What do we need to do to improve and by  |  |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------|------|-----------------|---------|-------|-------------------|--|--|
| Weasure                | Frequency | Actual         | Target | Perf | DoT             | Actual  | Perf. | perf.             | performance  | when?  |
|                        |           |                |        |      |                 |         |       |                   | is providing value for money.  | with controlled<br>expenditure in other<br>areas   |
| Received<br>surplus    | Monthly   | £16,354        | £9,000 | *    | Î               | £12,941 | *     | £36,516           | Received surplus well<br>ahead of budget. Again<br>this is due to the<br>attractiveness of the<br>programming and<br>marketing | Continue to provide<br>attractive and vibrant<br>programme of events<br>and ensure these are<br>well promoted. |

 Table 13: Trinity Arts Centre measure

 exceptions

#### **Cluster: Democratic and Business Support**

#### **Democratic Services**

There is a robust PA service in place and positive feedback from CExec and Directors. Standards complaints continue to be received from Parish Councils regarding governance issues. The Freedom of Information process has been redesigned to embed efficiencies and automating of the process where possible, this will continue to be reviewed in line with changes being brought in by GDPR. There are two large projects being run within the team on top of the day to day work, embedding and maximising the potential of the Modern.gov systems and exploring the Governance arrangements of the council. The Civic function has run a well-attended Christmas Carol service and have received a number of positive comments from Councillors and attendees.

| Performance<br>Measure       | Reporting | (      | Current Period |      |     | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD   | What is affecting   | What do we need to do to improve and by |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------|----------------|------|-----|-----------------|-------|-------|---------------------|---|
| wiedsure                     | Frequency | Actual | Target         | Perf | DoT | Actual          | Perf. | perf. | performance         | when?                                   |
| Perspective: Qua             | lity      |        |                |      |     |                 |       |       |                     |   |
| Freedom of<br>Information    | Monthly   | 98%    | 100%           |      | I   | 100%            |       | 95%   | 1 FOI missed target | Unclear                                 |
| requests<br>completed within | Wontiny   | 9078   | 100 /8         |      | ¥   | 100 /8          | -     | 9578  | TT OT MISSed target | Uncieal                                 |

| Performance<br>Measure  | Reporting<br>Frequency |        | Current Pe | riod |               | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD<br>perf. | What is affecting performance          | What do we need to do to improve and by   |
|---|------------------------|--------|------------|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|--|---|
| Weasure   | Frequency              | Actual | Target     | Perf | DoT           | Actual          | Perf. | pen.         | performance                            | when?   |
| the statutory<br>requirement  |                        |        |            |      |               |                 |       |              |  |   |
| Percentage of<br>civic events and<br>visits attended<br>within the district | Monthly                | 68%    | 90%        |      | $\rightarrow$ | 70%             | •     | 68%          | 15 events attended outside<br>district | 5 were Lincolnshire-<br>wide events<br>1 was a neighbouring<br>authority's Civic Service<br>1 was relevant to West<br>Lindsey residents<br>2 - Civic Carol Services<br>(one in Lincolnshire and<br>one in Doncaster)<br>1 - Lincoln College<br>Group Awards<br>Ceremony and some<br>college campus' are in<br>West Lindsey<br>1 event was supporting<br>the Crown<br>1 was a Lincolnshire<br>authority's Civic Service<br>2 were Remembrance<br>Services<br>1 was supporting a<br>charity which also<br>operates in West<br>Lindsey |

 Table 14: Democratic Services measure

exceptions

#### **Contracts Management**

There has been a greater number of contracts that have had exception reports raised against them. This increase is due to the nature of the contracts and the need to procure expert advice. If it envisioned that this performance is exceptional and performance will improve over the remaining of 2016/17.

| Performance<br>Measure  | Reporting |        | Current Per | iod  |               | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD   | What is affecting                               | What do we need to do to improve and by  |
|---|-----------|--------|-------------|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------|---|--|
| INIEdSUIE   | Frequency | Actual | Target      | Perf | DoT           | Actual          | Perf. | perf. | performance                                     | when?  |
| Perspective: Qua  | ality     |        |             |      |               |                 |       | -     |   |  |
| Percentage of<br>contracts that<br>have expired<br>and continued<br>with no<br>extension<br>arrangement in<br>place | Quarterly | 0%     | 20%         | *    | ţ             | 0%              | *     | 0%    | Performance is on track                         |  |
| Number of<br>exception<br>reports raised  | Quarterly | 9      | 5           | •    | ↓             | 0               | *     | 14    | Need expert advice for the renewal of contracts | No improvements<br>needed as this was<br>relevant to the contracts<br>and therefore<br>exceptional<br>circumstances. |
| Percentage of<br>supplier<br>enquiries<br>regarding<br>evaluation<br>feedback                                       | Quarterly | 0%     | 10%         | *    | $\rightarrow$ | 0%              | *     | 0%    | Performance is on track                         |  |

Table 15: Contracts Management measure exceptions

The service has been working in partnership with services to prepare the proposed fees and charges and draft budgets. Has undertaken consultation and engagement with Parishes, and the public on budget proposals to inform the Medium Term Financial Plan

Has commenced the Finance Matters II programme of activity with the delivery of Procurement training for officers and Members training on scrutinising the Statement of Accounts.

| Performance<br>Measure                                      | Reporting<br>Frequency | C       | Current Perio | d    |               | Previous | Period | YTD<br>perf. | What is<br>affecting<br>performance | What do we need to do<br>to improve and by<br>when? |
|---|------------------------|---------|---------------|------|---------------|----------|--------|--------------|-------------------------------------|---|
|   |                        | Actual  | Target        | Perf | DoT           | Actual   | Perf.  |              |                                     |   |
| Perspective: Q  | uality                 |         |               |      |               |          |        |              |                                     |   |
| Return on<br>investment                                     | Quarterly              | 1.17%   | 0.23%         | *    | $\rightarrow$ | 1.15%    | *      | 1.17%        | No issues in performance.           | Monitor continuing uncertainty.                     |
| Savings<br>generated<br>through<br>Procurement<br>exercises | Quarterly              | £38,200 | £9,500        | *    | ſ             | £800     | •      | £44,000      | Contract<br>renewals                | Monitor opportunities<br>during contract renewals   |

 Table 16: Contracts Management measure exceptions

## **Cluster: Economic Development and Neighbourhoods**

#### **Economic Development**

The Team continues to focus on the Gainsborough Growth Programme, the Food Enterprise Zone at Hemswell Cliff and wider economic development initiatives such as the Lindsey Action Zone.

With regards to Gainsborough, the procurement to secure a strategic development partner is now underway and initial Expressions of Interest received and evaluated (subject of a separate report). The next stage in the process is the Outline Solutions which will progress in February. A funding bid for £4m has also been submitted to the Greater Lincolnshire Local Enterprise Partnership to support the growth programme and specifically, to enable housing growth. A Heritage Masterplan has been developed for the town centre and the Team is awaiting the outcome of its Heritage Lottery bid to assist in the restoration of town centre properties. The Gainsborough Place Board hosted a successful Christmas event, bringing together over 80 stakeholders to promote the town; work is progressing on the details of the Sun Hotel redevelopment and Joint Venture Company for the regeneration of Market Street (separate reports give further details). Feasibility work is progressing on the marina site and the Gainsborough Growth Fund continues to operate and assist local businesses. The Team is also considering options for the provision of workspace premises within the town.

In terms of the wider District, the Lindsey Action Zone continues to assist West Lindsey businesses, most recently through the award of a grant for development at Hillcrest Garage, Caistor. The evaluation of the Townscape Heritage Initiative has been completed for Caistor and the Council has now approved a head lease arrangement to facilitate the building of industrial premises in Saxilby.

Finally, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is nearing completion for the Food Enterprise Zone as a requirement of the Local Development Order process for the site. The project has also been shortlisted for major capital funding through the Greater Lincolnshire Local Enterprise Partnership to support infrastructure delivery costs. The Team is now working on the delivery options, co-ordinating with other FEZ sites at Holbeach and NE Lincs.

#### Funding

Our Community Grant Scheme continue to support a wide range of projects and initiatives. We have seen excellent match funding levels thanks to our ability to provide funding support for larger projects. Projects have included small community activities and larger capital works on community facilities. Our funding is being widely spread with a range of projects right across the District.

Demand for our Small Grants has reduced but increased for our Large Grants. Our flexible approach enables us to manage funding budgets appropriately and respond to need and demand. Appropriate promotion and publicity for 2017/2018 is being planned and this may include more funding fair style events which also promote other funders.

Previously our reporting on funding had been a yearly running total. We have introduced new recording systems to enable us to provide quarterly figures. This will enable more enhanced review and reflection on funding trends throughout the financial year and help plan for future years.

| Performance<br>Measure        | Reporting |        |        |      | Previo<br>Perio |        | YTD   | What is affecting | What do we need to do to improve and by  |                                       |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------|-----------------|--------|-------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| wiedsure                      | Frequency | Actual | Target | Perf | DoT             | Actual | Perf. | perf.             | performance  | when?                                 |
| Perspective: Qua              | lity      |        |        |      |                 |        |       |                   |  |                                       |
| Successful grant applications | Quarterly | 0%     | 50%    | •    | $\rightarrow$   | 0%     | •     | n/a               | 1 Known grant application<br>submitted for DCLG funding<br>was not successful. | Officers are exploring other options. |

 Table 17: Funding measure exceptions

#### Localism and Community Safety

Overview of performance

| Performance<br>Measure                                   | Reporting |        | Current Period |      | Previo<br>Perio |        | YTD   | What is affecting | What do we need to do to improve and by |       |
|--|-----------|--------|----------------|------|-----------------|--------|-------|-------------------|---|-------|
| Ivieasure  | Frequency | Actual | Target         | Perf | DoT             | Actual | Perf. | perf.             | performance                             | when? |
| Perspective: Qua   | lity      |        |                |      |                 |        |       |                   |   |       |
| Percentage of<br>cases completed<br>within six<br>months | Monthly   |        | 95%            |      |                 |        |       |                   |   |       |

 Table 18: Localism and Community Safety

 measure exceptions

### CCTV

CCTV continues to develop towards more commercial activity. Partnership working is being developed with other Local Authorities which will enable possible joint delivery of services and income generation. We are working closely with Marshall's Yard and other Gainsborough partners to develop a refreshed Shop Watch scheme to better meet the needs of retailers and be financially sustainable. In the lead up to Christmas 2016 we experienced a very high demand on the CCTV service. This was due to an increase in shoplifting activity and the amount of CCTV review work requested by Lincolnshire Police.

CCTV has been proactively used to prevent shoplifting activity leading up to Christmas, support police during Night Time Economy and detect offenders in relation to public order and burglary offences.

#### Markets

Gainsborough Market continues to underperform against targets, trader levels seem to have levelled off after a dip early in the year, it is usual for numbers to drop again in the early part of the New Year. A report recommending in-house led efficiency savings which would also allow the market to potentially grow was heard by Members in late 2016, the decision was subject to call-in and eventually members asked for further clarity around options. This work is still being undertaken with an expectation of a further paper being presented in the spring of 2017.

| Performance<br>Measure                       | Reporting<br>Frequency |        | Current Per | iod  |               | Previous<br>Period |       | YTD     | What is affecting<br>performance                       | What do we need to do to improve and by                      |
|--|------------------------|--------|-------------|------|---------------|--------------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| Measure                                      | Frequency              | Actual | Target      | Perf | DoT           | Actual             | Perf. | perf.   | performance  | when?  |
| <b>Perspective: Cus</b>                      | tomer                  |        |             |      |               |                    |       |         |  |  |
| Average number<br>of stalls on a<br>Tuesday  | Monthly                | 48     | 60          | •    | $\rightarrow$ | 51                 | •     | 52      | Market review and options appraisal currently underway | Market review and<br>options appraisal<br>currently underway |
| Average number<br>of stalls on a<br>Saturday | Monthly                | 24     | 20          | *    | Ť             | 18                 | *     | 21      | Extra stalls running up to Christmas                   | Market review and options appraisal currently underway       |
| Perspective: Fina                            | ancial                 |        |             |      |               |                    |       |         |  |  |
| Income received                              | Quarterly              | £9,807 | £11,250     |      | $\rightarrow$ | £9,985             |       | £25,497 | Downturn in trader numbers                             | Review of market operations                                  |

Table 19: Markets measure exceptions

#### Safeguarding

Safeguarding continues to be a priority area. The number of referrals received from WLDC officers is consistent and there have been some excellent examples of partnership working, particularly within the housing & communities' team to safeguarding vulnerable persons. We continue to deliver specialist domestic abuse training on behalf of LCC, which is delivering an income for the council. We have been commissioned to deliver 23 sessions in the current financial year.

## **Cluster: Housing and Regeneration**

#### Assets and Facilities Management

The planned maintenance programme of compiling backlog maintenance works packages including specifying, tendering and awarding works has been severely hampered by a prolonged service restructure and loss of key staff to a point where these works have had to be placed on hold.

|   |                        |             | <b>Current Period</b> |      |               | Previous Pe | eriod |             |   | What do we  |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------|---------------|-------------|-------|-------------|---|---|
| Performance<br>Measure                          | Reporting<br>Frequency | Actual      | Target                | Perf | DoT           | Actual      | Perf. | YTD perf.   | What is affecting<br>performance                                  | need to do to<br>improve and by<br>when?  |
| Perspective: Cu                                 | istomer                |             |                       |      |               |             |       |             |   |   |
| Two year<br>backlog<br>maintenance<br>reduction | Quarterly              | 4%          | 15%                   | •    | $\rightarrow$ | 2%          | •     | n/a         | Understaffing   | Restructure within<br>the service to<br>ensure<br>appropriate<br>resource is<br>available |
| Voids<br>management                             | Monthly                | 10%         | 12%                   | *    | Ļ             | 4%          | *     | 6%          | Performance is on target  | Figure due to<br>improve in new<br>year as 3 units<br>are under offer                     |
| Perspective: Fir                                | nancial                |             |                       |      |               |             |       |             |   |   |
| Rental income<br>(assets)                       | Monthly                | £102,436.32 | £143,200.54           | •    | $\downarrow$  | £244,217.24 | *     | £409,366.16 | Income is still up<br>overall with service<br>charge payments and | Collect payments  |

|  |                        |            | Current Period | 1    |     | Previous P | eriod |             |  | What do we                               |
|--|------------------------|------------|----------------|------|-----|------------|-------|-------------|--|--|
| Performance<br>Measure                   | Reporting<br>Frequency | Actual     | Target         | Perf | DoT | Actual     | Perf. | YTD perf.   | What is affecting<br>performance   | need to do to<br>improve and by<br>when? |
|  |                        |            |                |      |     |            |       |             | LCC rent/back pay<br>still outstanding   |  |
| Rental income<br>(car parks)             | Monthly                | £23,146.05 | £39,169.09     | •    | Ļ   | £42,008.13 | •     | £109,291.02 | Finance are looking at<br>the income for<br>2016/17 as these<br>figures seem to be<br>abnormal   | Await finance reporting                  |
| Perspective: Qu                          | ality                  |            |                |      |     |            |       |             |  |  |
| Planned and<br>responsive<br>maintenance | Quarterly              | 61/39      | 70/30          | •    | Ļ   | 69/31      | -     |             | Spend ratio is<br>determined upon<br>revenue account only<br>and does not take into<br>consideration the<br>capital works<br>programme which<br>shows a further 361k<br>spend (YTD) on<br>planned works. This<br>target is on target |  |

Table 20: Assets measure exceptions

#### Housing

The number of long term empty properties in the district continues to decrease on a quarterly basis and demonstrates the excellent work that has been undertaken within this area. In December, a compulsory purchase order was agreed for one of the longest term empty properties in the district. This will be progressed in 2017.

DFGs continue to be delivered effectively and we are on schedule to spend the whole amount of grant allocated for this financial year. We will also be introducing a pilot for stair lifts in 2017, which will enhance the service for our residents.

| Performance<br>Measure   | Reporting<br>Frequency | (        | Current Per | iod  |               | Previo<br>Perio |       | YTD perf. | What is affecting performance                   | What do we need to do to improve and by |
|--|------------------------|----------|-------------|------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|---|---|
| Wedsule  | riequency              | Actual   | Target      | Perf | DoT           | Actual          | Perf. |           | performance                                     | when?                                   |
| Perspective: Cus   | stomer                 |          |             |      |               |                 |       |           |   |   |
| Total number of<br>long term empty<br>homes in the<br>District | Quarterly              | 513      | 539         | *    | Ť             | 542             | *     | 513       | Performance in line with target                 | No action needed                        |
| Perspective: Fina  | ancial                 | •        |             | •    |               | •               |       |           |   |   |
| The average<br>spend per<br>disabled<br>facilities grants      | Monthly                | £5,036   | £3,500      | •    | Ļ             | £4,702          |       | £4,744.84 | Complex and larger cases                        | Ongoing case reviews                    |
| Total spend on<br>completed<br>disabled<br>facilities grants   | Monthly                | £110,665 | £84,249     | •    | $\rightarrow$ | £138,658        |       | £318,061  | No performance issues                           | No action needed                        |
| Perspective: Pro   | cess                   |          |             |      |               |                 |       |           |   |   |
| Number of<br>affordable<br>homes delivered                     | Quarterly              | 4        | 20          | •    | $\rightarrow$ | 0               |       | 21        | Delivery affected by viability and grant levels |   |

Table 21: Housing measure exceptions

#### **Home Choices**

In November 2016, Home Choices Advisor Charlotte Welch was awarded a Chartered Institute of Housing (CIH) award for the category of 'New Housing Professional of the Year' for the Midlands region and will now progress to the National CIH awards in June 2017.

Led by West Lindsey District Council, a recent Lincolnshire bid for DCLG social impact bond funding was successful (1.3m). This will enable 120 of the most vulnerable and entrenched rough sleepers to be supported across Lincolnshire. (Web link available)

The service continues to experience difficulties with the stability of the housing register system. This has led to increased complaints to the service and progression of a report to Entrepreneurial Board about the options for future delivery of the housing register function.

| Performance<br>Measure                         | Reporting<br>Frequency | (      |        | Previo<br>Perio |     | YTD<br>perf. | What is affecting performance | What do we need to do to improve and by |  |  |
|--|------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|-----|--------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
|  | · · ·                  | Actual | Target | Perf            | DoT | Actual       | Perf.                         | pen.                                    | performance  | when?  |
| Perspective: Pro                               | cess                   |        | T      |                 |     |              |                               |   |  |  |
| Number of<br>nights verified<br>rough sleepers | Quarterly              | 13     | 0      | •               | Î   | 39           | •                             | 53                                      | One was a man sleeping in<br>car as based in London but<br>working in Gainsborough<br>and saving money by<br>sleeping in car. 1-<br>Managed to rehouse.<br>Another excluded from<br>Market Rasen but now<br>accommodated | Our ability to control this is limited   |
| Number of<br>verified rough<br>sleepers        | Monthly                | 3      | 0      | •               | Ļ   | 2            | •                             | 6                                       | 1- Illegal eviction and<br>reluctance to go to MR<br>house due to previous stay<br>there. Rehoused<br>immediately. 1- refused to<br>engage (HY), 1 - worker<br>sleeping in car   | Our ability to control this is limited   |
| Homeless<br>prevention                         | Monthly                | 74     | 60     | •               | n/a | 66           | -                             | 166                                     | Included 20 DFGs<br>completed over the last<br>quarter which haven't been<br>recorded in the previous 3<br>months and 7 from the<br>housing register   | We have improved the<br>way that we capture<br>homelessness<br>prevention activity<br>carried out by other<br>teams across the<br>council. This is a<br>positive. We will review<br>the target for next year<br>to reflect this. |
| Perspective: Qua                               | ality                  |        |        |                 |     |              |                               |   |  |  |

| Performance<br>Measure  | Reporting<br>Frequency |        | Current Per |      | Previous<br>Period |        | YTD<br>perf. | What is affecting performance | What do we need to do to improve and by  |  |
|---|------------------------|--------|-------------|------|--------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Weasure   | Frequency              | Actual | Target      | Perf | DoT                | Actual | Perf.        | pen.                          | performance  | when?  |
| Average time for<br>a person in<br>"band 1" to be<br>rehoused | Monthly                | 63     | 28          | •    | Ļ                  | 46     | •            | 58                            | Not included in this is an<br>Acis applicant who was 349<br>days in band 1 as a medical<br>need. (Informed Acis). 1<br>household 102 days and<br>another 114 due to waiting<br>for specific type property;<br>lowest was 7 days.   | <ul> <li>1 Limited availability of specific property types (large family housing, 5 children)</li> <li>We have already been working with Acis to request that properties for households accepted as homeless are prioritised when they require repairs. We have sought agreement from Acis that this will happen. The delays are linked to Acis' transition of their repairs and maintenance service.</li> </ul> |
| Bed and<br>breakfast nights                                   | Monthly                | 83     | 0           | •    | Ļ                  | 27     | •            | 119                           | 5 households in October. 1<br>was moved on very quickly,<br>1 was moved into cross<br>street after 7 days when flat<br>was available. Another was<br>offered property after 7<br>days. Waiting for move on<br>accommodation to be<br>ready.<br>Quick acceptance of<br>households but slow<br>turnover of Acis properties<br>meaning Cross Street is full.<br>Notices on properties are | We have spoken to Acis<br>about this issue. They<br>advise that they have<br>experienced delays with<br>repairs since<br>transferring their<br>maintenance service to<br>an in house provision,<br>due to staffing<br>shortages. However<br>they advise this is now<br>improving. We have<br>sought agreement for<br>works on properties   |

| Performance<br>Measure | Reporting<br>Frequency | Current Period |        |      |     | Previous<br>Period |       | YTD   | What is affecting<br>performance  | What do we need to do to improve and by  |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------|------|-----|--------------------|-------|-------|---|--|
|                        |                        | Actual         | Target | Perf | DoT | Actual             | Perf. | perf. | performance   | when?  |
|                        |                        |                |        |      |     |                    |       |       | extended which means<br>move in dates are delayed.<br>4 households in November-<br>longest at 14 nights.<br>December: unable to move<br>household into flat due to<br>high risk to other residents. | allocated to homeless<br>accepted households to<br>be prioritised. We are<br>actively monitoring this. |

 Table 22: Home Choices measure exceptions

#### Healthy District

The leisure contract continues to perform well in respect of West Lindsey Leisure Centre with a good mix of activities being offered and the Centre being attractively marketed.

Customer satisfaction levels remain extremely high with very little complaints being received across the contract.

The only issues of concern for the quarter are the usage which was down in December and also new participants failed to hit target in November and December. However, this is due to leisure industry trends with these months typically having low usage. There will be an influx in customers in January and February which will even out the annual usage figures.

Usage and activity is low for the satellite sites at De Aston and Caistor but these issues will be addressed within the new contract.

| Performance<br>Measure  | Reporting<br>Frequency |        |        | Previous<br>Period |               | YTD    | What is affecting | What do we need to do to improve and by |   |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|---------------|--------|-------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| weasure   |                        | Actual | Target | Perf               | DoT           | Actual | Perf.             | perf.                                   | performance   | when?  |  |  |
| Perspective: Customer   |                        |        |        |                    |               |        |                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Customer<br>satisfaction of<br>leisure facilities<br>& activities | Monthly                | 95%    | 80%    | *                  | $\rightarrow$ | 96%    | *                 | 96%                                     | Customer satisfaction<br>remains high across the<br>contract with no poor<br>scores being recorded on<br>surveys. | Continue to monitor<br>performance at monthly<br>client/contractor<br>meetings. Address any<br>poor results or issues. |  |  |

| Performance<br>Measure                                    | Reporting<br>Frequency |        |          |      | Previous<br>Period |         | What is affecting performance | What do we need to do to improve and by |   |   |
|---|------------------------|--------|----------|------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Measure   | riequency              | Actual | Target   | Perf | DoT                | Actual  | Perf.                         | perf.                                   | performance   | when?   |
| New participants<br>at West Lindsey<br>Leisure facilities | Monthly                | 433    | 600      | •    | Ļ                  | 969     | *                             | 1,886                                   | Leisure trends demonstrate<br>that the latter part of the<br>calendar year suffer in<br>terms of usage. There<br>should be an influx of new<br>users in January and<br>February which will<br>compensate for this result. | Continue to monitor<br>results and ensure the<br>Centres are being<br>actively marketed and<br>are attractive to users.   |
| Perspective: Fina   | ancial                 | •      | <b>.</b> |      |                    |         |                               |   |   |   |
| Cost of Leisure<br>Management fee<br>per service user     | Monthly                | £0.91  | £1.20    | *    | Ļ                  | £0.79   | *                             | £0.83                                   | December is historically a<br>low month for usage due to<br>the Christmas break.<br>However, due to reduced<br>costs the contract is still<br>producing value for money.  | Continue to monitor<br>usage levels.  |
| Perspective: Qua  | ality                  |        |          |      |                    | -       |                               |   |   | -   |
| West Lindsey<br>leisure facilities<br>usage               | Monthly                | 73,350 | 76,000   | •    | Ļ                  | 108,523 | *                             | 236,351                                 | Leisure trends demonstrate<br>that the latter part of the<br>calendar year suffer in<br>terms of usage. There<br>should be an influx of new<br>users in January and<br>February which will<br>compensate for this result. | Continue to monitor<br>results and ensure the<br>Centres are being<br>actively marketed and<br>are attractive to users.<br>A percentage of the<br>management fee is<br>withheld and the leisure<br>contractor will need to<br>hit the annual target to<br>ensure payment. |

Table 23: Healthy District measure exceptions

#### **Town Centre Management**

The Projects and Growth Team and actively working on a range of initiatives to address the issues faced by the traditional town centre in Gainsborough. This includes embarking on a JV Co venture for the Market Street area, Sun Hotel redevelopment, securing a Strategic Development Partner and pursuing bids for funding to the Heritage Lottery Fund, Historic England and through our own Gainsborough Growth Fund.

## **Cluster: Organisational Transformation**

### ICT

Continued improvements by implementing some ITIL procedures. CRFs and helpdesks calls responsive and exceeded the target.

| Performance<br>Measure                                   | Reporting<br>Frequency |        | Current Per |      | Previous<br>Period |        | YTD<br>perf. | What is affecting<br>performance | What do we need to do to improve and by  |   |  |  |
|--|------------------------|--------|-------------|------|--------------------|--------|--------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| WedSule  | riequency              | Actual | Target      | Perf | DoT                | Actual | Perf.        | pen.                             | performance  | when?                                   |  |  |
| Perspective: Customer                                    |                        |        |             |      |                    |        |              |                                  |  |   |  |  |
| Incident &<br>Problem<br>Management                      | Monthly                | 296%   | 90%         | *    | $\rightarrow$      | 403%   | *            | 100%                             | Automation of the ICT<br>helpdesk ensure rapid<br>notification of issues and<br>directed to the appropriate<br>officer | Continue with pro-<br>active monitoring |  |  |
| Perspective: Pro   | Perspective: Process   |        |             |      |                    |        |              |                                  |  |   |  |  |
| Change<br>Management                                     | Monthly                | 108%   | 50%         | *    | $\rightarrow$      | 105%   | *            | 100%                             | Automation of the ICT<br>helpdesk ensure rapid<br>notification of issues and<br>directed to the appropriate<br>officer | Continue with pro-<br>active monitoring |  |  |
| Perspective: Qua   | ality                  |        |             |      |                    |        |              |                                  |  |   |  |  |
| Service and<br>System<br>availability:<br>Secure Network | Monthly                | 100%   | 98%         | *    | $\rightarrow$      | 100%   | *            | 100%                             | Proactive monitoring and<br>event logging ensures<br>excellent service   | Continue with pro-<br>active monitoring |  |  |

Table 24: ICT measure exceptions

#### Systems Development

Commercial venture in building Rutland website and providing consultancy continues. The Arcus project has been started which enables our digital presence to improve by reviewing electronic forms. Recently brought LLPG management back in-house full-time and therefore more proactive monitoring is carried out, and service savings have been recognised.

| Performance<br>Measure   | Reporting |        |                      | Previo<br>Perio |               | YTD    | What is affecting | What do we need to do to improve and by |   |   |
|--|-----------|--------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|-------------------|---|---|---|
| weasure  | Frequency | Actual | Target               | Perf            | DoT           | Actual | Perf.             | perf.                                   | performance   | when?   |
| Perspective: Cus   | tomer     |        |                      |                 |               |        |                   | -                                       |   |   |
| Website<br>availability  | Monthly   | 100%   | 98%                  | *               | $\rightarrow$ | 100%   | *                 | 100%                                    | Proactive monitoring and<br>event logging ensures<br>excellent service  | Continue with pro-active<br>monitoring and built in<br>resilience for hosted<br>website |
| Number of<br>online customers<br>signing up to the<br>self-service<br>accounts   | Monthly   | 550    | 396                  | *               | $\rightarrow$ | 1,002  | *                 | 3,614                                   | This is new customers<br>signing up each month.<br>The proactive campaigning<br>is creating these contacts.                   | Keep promoting the<br>digital opportunities for<br>online submissions                   |
| Number of<br>electronic forms<br>completed and<br>submitted on the<br>website    | Monthly   | 5,742  | 219                  | *               | $\rightarrow$ | 9,181  | *                 | 19,404                                  | The reduction this period<br>may be because demand<br>failures have decreased, or<br>no new campaigns currently<br>being run. | Keep promoting the<br>digital opportunities for<br>online submissions                   |
| <b>Perspective:</b> Pro  | cess      |        |                      |                 |               |        |                   |   |   |   |
| Number of<br>electronic forms<br>developed and<br>integrated into<br>the website | Monthly   | 182    | 96                   | *               | $\rightarrow$ | 234    | *                 | 528                                     | This is a running total of the<br>number of live forms now on<br>the website  | Keep promoting the<br>digital opportunities for<br>online submissions                   |
| Perspective: Qua   | ality     |        |                      |                 |               |        |                   |   |   |   |
| LLPG Standard  | Monthly   | Silver | National<br>standard | *               |               | Bronze | *                 | n/a                                     | The standard is being<br>exceeded by pro-active<br>management of the SNN<br>and LLPG processes                                | Complete reported<br>errors within time limit to<br>improve reporting<br>statistics.    |

| Performance Reporting<br>Measure Frequence                                | Reporting |        |        |      |     | Previous<br>Period |       | YTD<br>perf. | What is affecting  | What do we need to do to improve and by                 |
|---|-----------|--------|--------|------|-----|--------------------|-------|--------------|--|---|
|   | Frequency | Actual | Target | Perf | DoT | Actual             | Perf. | pen.         | performance  | when?   |
| Percentage of<br>street naming<br>and numbering<br>requests dealt<br>with | Monthly   | 20%    | 50%    | •    | Ļ   | 50%                | •     | 18%          | Larger developments take<br>longer to complete the<br>requests, delays by<br>developers on submitting<br>options | Provide information as soon as options become available |

Table 25: Systems Development measureexceptions

#### **Corporate Governance**

The CG service has recently undertaken a review of the Council's Project Management process with the aim to ensure that robust scoping is applied to projects and that they are put into delivery in a timely manner. Expired Audit actions and Risks continue to remain low with the team implementing a pro-active coordinated approach to Corporate Governance at West Lindsey DC.

For more information about the information contained in this report or the Council's Progress and Delivery framework then please contact the Corporate Governance team on the following contact details.